



# SMDM

Society for Medical Decision Making

*Better Health through Better Decisions.*

# 34<sup>th</sup>

## ANNUAL MEETING

*Designing*

## HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY *for*

## BETTER HEALTH DECISIONS

# 2012

## OCTOBER 17 - 20

[www.smdm.org](http://www.smdm.org)



1:15 PM - 1:30 PM: Fri. Oct 19, 2012

Regency Ballroom C (Hyatt Regency)

Part of Session: [METHODS FOR COMPARATIVE EFFECTIVENESS RESEARCH](#)

*W. Wei<sup>1</sup>, J. Frimpter<sup>1</sup>, K. Edwardson<sup>2</sup>, D. Mitchell<sup>1</sup> and MG Savella<sup>2</sup>, (1)Sanofi US, Bridgewater, NJ, (2)Doctor Evidence, LLC, Santa Monica, CA*

**Purpose:** To synthesize real-world evidence on outcomes among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) who initiated insulin glargine via disposable pen versus vial/syringe.

**Method:** We performed a meta-analysis of previously reported retrospective studies conducted in 4 different databases with a common data structure framework (consistently defined study design and measures). All four studies included adult T2DM patients previously treated with oral anti-diabetes drugs and/or glucagon-like peptide-1 therapy only, who initiated insulin glargine via disposable pen (GLA-P) or vial/syringe (GLA-V) between 2007 and 2009. All patients had to have continuous health plan enrollment 6 months prior to insulin initiation (baseline), and 12 months after (follow-up). In each study, baseline differences between GLA-P and GLA-V patients were balanced using stringent 1:1 propensity score matching. Study measures defined consistently across all four studies included 1-year follow-up treatment persistence and adherence, healthcare utilization, and hypoglycemia events. Data was analyzed with random effects modeling, using a unique evidence synthesis platform (Doctor Evidence<sup>®</sup>, Santa Monica, CA), with  $I^2$  to indicate degree of heterogeneity across studies.

**Result:** A total of 22,234 patients were pooled, and baseline characteristics for GLA-P (N=11,117) and GLA-V (N=11,117) patients were similar across each individual study. During 1 year follow-up, GLA-P patients were 25% more likely to be persistent (39.5% vs. 31.5%,  $p < 0.0001$ , relative risk (RR) = 1.25, 95% Confidence Interval (CI) 1.15-1.37,  $I^2 = 85.7\%$ ) and adherent (mean difference = 0.04, 95% CI 0.03-0.05;  $I^2 = 10.24\%$ ), averaging an additional 30.3 days on treatment (95% CI 21.64-38.99;  $I^2 = 81.8\%$ ). GLA-P patients were also 24% less likely to have hypoglycemic events (6.4% vs 8.5%; RR=0.76, 95% CI 0.69-0.83;  $I^2 = 0\%$ ) and 15% less likely to have hospital visits (21.7% vs 25.7%; RR=0.85, 95% CI 0.81-0.89;  $I^2 = 22.61\%$ ), but 26% more likely to have endocrinologist visits (22% vs. 17%, RR=1.26, 95% CI 1.1-1.45;  $I^2 = 83.76\%$ ). Heterogeneity varied across analyses. Sensitivity analyses yielded consistent results with the primary analysis.

**Conclusion:** This meta-analysis supports previous findings from individual studies, suggesting improved outcomes associated with disposable pen versus vial/syringe for

T2DM patients initiating insulin glargine therapy. Additionally, application of a common data structure across studies, combined with the unique evidence synthesis platform, enables reliable pooling of retrospective database studies and facilitates synthesis of real-world evidence.

---

### **H-3. A PNEUMONIA MORTALITY MODEL BASED ON HIGHLY DETAILED ADMINISTRATIVE DATA**

*1:30 PM - 1:45 PM: Fri. Oct 19, 2012*

*Regency Ballroom C (Hyatt Regency)*

*Part of Session: [METHODS FOR COMPARATIVE EFFECTIVENESS RESEARCH](#)*

***Michael Rothberg, MD, MPH<sup>1</sup>**, Penelope Pekow, PhD<sup>2</sup>, Aruna Priya, MA, MSc<sup>2</sup>, Marya Zilberberg, MD, MPH<sup>3</sup>, Raquel Belforti, DO<sup>2</sup>, Richard Brown, MD<sup>2</sup>, Daniel Skiest, MD<sup>2</sup> and Peter K. Lindenauer, MD, MSc<sup>2</sup>, (1)Department of Medicine, Springfield, MA, (2)Baystate Medical Center (Tufts University), Springfield, MA, (3)University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA*

**Purpose:** Clinical prediction instruments generally incorporate clinical data, whereas models derived from administrative data make use of information coded at discharge. We constructed a mortality model derived from highly detailed administrative data acquired during the first 48 hours of admission.

**Methods:** Our dataset included information on all patients aged  $\geq 18$  years with a principal diagnosis of pneumonia or a secondary diagnosis of pneumonia paired with a principal diagnosis of sepsis, respiratory failure/arrest or influenza, who were admitted between 07/01/07 and 06/30/10 to 347 hospitals that participated in Premier's Perspective database. The dataset was divided into a derivation and validation set. We derived an HGLM inpatient mortality model that included patient demographics, co-morbidities, acute and chronic medications, therapies and diagnostic tests administered in the first 48 hours of admission as well as interaction effects. The final model was applied to the validation set.

**Results:** The dataset included 200,870 patients in the derivation cohort and 50,037 patients in the validation cohort. In the final multivariable model, 3 demographic factors, 27 comorbidities, 40 medications, 8 diagnostic tests and 10 treatments within the first 48 hours were associated with mortality. The strongest predictors of mortality were early vasopressors (OR 1.79), early non-invasive ventilation (OR 1.59), and early bicarbonate treatment (OR 1.70). The model had a c-statistic of 0.85